

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ο.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,171		09/09/2003	Roddi James Simpson	0100/0162	1010	
21395	7590	08/10/2006		EXAMINER		
LOUIS V			HAN, MARK K			
		OUIS WOO FTE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXAN	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				3767	
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del>,</del>					
.,,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/657,171	SIMPSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark K. Han	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	<u>ıne 2006</u> .	İ				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 is/are withdrawn f 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,13,16,18,21,24 and 26-28 is/are re 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11,12,14,15,19,20,22,23 and 25 is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rom consideration. ejected. e objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 17 May 2006 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Claim 17 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 23 May 2005.
- 3. Claim 10 link(s) several species. The election of species requirement among the linked species is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 10. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the election of species requirement as to the linked species shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction/election of species requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the above-mentioned claims involve an opening in the back wall of the proximal portion away from the slot to enable the view of the portion of the needle hub. The Examiner does not argue the existence of the opening. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have concluded from the drawings that the opening would be sufficient such that one would be able to view a portion of the needle hub. Such language is not supported by the specification in any way. The amendments to claims 16 and 24 are considered to be new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,171 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10, 13, 16, 18, 21, 24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,029,461 to Ferguson et al. (hereinafter "Ferguson").

Ferguson discloses a needle hub 50, needle 15, annular space (between 38 and 50), collar reception mechanism 38, collar 12, needle protection housing 10, slot 36, opening (defined by element 54), catch member 26 and sheath 40. See Figures 1-11. Ferguson, however, does not show that the needle hub is unitarily molded to the syringe barrel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Ferguson, by unitarily molding the needle hub and syringe barrel in order to prevent unauthorized users from changing the needle. *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 11, 12, 14, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,171

Art Unit: 3767

Response to Arguments

Page 5

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10, 13, 16, 18, 21, 24 and 26-28 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark K. Han whose telephone number is 571-272-4958. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark K. Han
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3767

mkh August 7, 2006

KEVIN C. SIRMONS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Merin C. Amm